Asymmetric Price Transmission with R Package **apt**

Dr. Changyou Sun
Mississippi State University

March 31, 2012

Abstract

The structure of the package **apt** and the implementation of models for asymmetric price transmission (APT) are explained in this note. This type of economic analysis is typically time series analysis with the steps of unit root test, cointegration test, and finally error correction model. APT studies have evolved with several distinct stages: pre-cointegration, linear cointegration, nonlinear threshold cointegration, and more recently, regime switching model. APT is usually about two price series so the programming focus of this package is on two series.

1 Introduction

A number of econometric models have been employed to analyze APT in the past. There have been four major types of models. The first one is the classical specification developed in the 1970s. In a representative study of this type, Kinnucan and Forker (1987) analyze the farm-retail price transmission for four dairy products in the United States, using monthly data from January 1971 to December 1981. The second type of model considers the nonstationary property of data and incorporates cointegration concept into the analysis. As one of the seminal studies of this type, for instance, von Gramon-Taubadel (1998) uses cointegration and error correction representation to analyze the transmission between producer and wholesale pork prices in northern Germany.

The third type of APT studies utilizes the regime switching model. It improves the specification further by adding a threshold autoregression mechanism to a standard error correction model. This assumes that the price relationship as a whole depends on a state variable, which can be one of the explanatory variables. Generally, the level of the state variable, relative to a threshold value, describes different states of the world, or regimes, hence the name of regime switching models. This type of model is especially helpful in considering transaction costs between spatial markets. The final type of APT studies exploits the error

correction model with threshold cointegration. The rationale is that if the true long-term relationship between two prices is asymmetric, a test for cointegration based on a symmetric long-term equilibrium may result in misleading findings. A solution to this problem is proposed by Enders and Granger (1998), who introduce Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) and Momentum Threshold Autoregressive (MTAR) cointegration. Correspondingly, the error correction terms are revised based on threshold cointegration.

APT studies use time series econometrics. It has typical steps from unit root test, cointegration test, and finally error correction models. The four types of APT models differ from each other at some steps but not all. To be efficient, it is easier to structure the package along the major steps, as detail in Table 1. Several functions have not been fully developed yet. I expect it will take some time to finish the whole plan.

2 Four types of APT models

This section will explain the four types of APT models. The summary by Frey and Manera 2007 and Meyer and von Cramon-Taubadel 2004 is a great help for us to understand the landscape.

3 Functions, classes, and methods

The core functions are explained in this section.

4 Example

We will follow the main steps for one dataset to demonstrate the process. Step 1 is to understand the data property.

```
R> library(apt)
R> data(daCh); data(daVi); data(daVich)
R> bsStat(daVich)
```

```
     name Vietnam China  mean stde  mini  maxi obno
1 Vietnam    1.00       115.5  9.9  99.3 150.7   97
2   China    0.25     1 148.8 11.5 119.6 177.7   97
```

Step 2 is to check the unit root of two series.

```
R> library(urca)
R> adf.xa <- ur.df(daCh,  type=c("trend"), lags=3)
R> adf.xa
```

Table 1: Structure of package **bf**

Category	Function	Methods for class	Status
Dataset	daCh		Done
	daVi		Done
	daVich		Done
	dcvAdf		No
	dcvEG		No
	dcvTar		No
Basic manipulation	bsLag		Done
	bsStat		Done
	bsCaus		None
	bsKF		None
Unit root	urAdf		No
	urPP		No
	urKpss		No
Cointegration	ciLinEg		No
	ciLinJJ		No
	ciTarFit	print, summary	Done
	ciTarLag	plot	Done
	ciTarThd	plot	Done
Error correction model	ecmAsyFit	print, summary	Done
	ecmAsyTest		Done
	ecmDiag		Done
	ecmSymFit	print, summary	Done
	ecmRswFit		No
	ecmRswThd		No

```
############################################################
# Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Unit Root / Cointegration Test #
############################################################

The value of the test statistic is: -2.9555 3.2962 4.9183
```

```
R> plot(adf.xa)
```



Step 3 is to examine the linear and nonlinear cointegration relationship.

Step 4 is to estimate an ECM model.

References

G. Frey and M. Manera. Econometric models of asymmetric price transmission. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 21(2):349–415, 2007.

J. Meyer and S. von Cramon-Taubadel. Asymmetric price transmission: a survey. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 55(3):581–611, 2004.